Energy spectrum of ensemble of weakly nonlinear gravity-capillary waves on a fluid surface

Elena Tobisch*
Institute for Analysis, Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria

In this Letter we regard nonlinear gravity-capillary waves with parameter of nonlinearity being $\varepsilon \sim 0.1 \div 0.25$. For this nonlinearity time scale separation does not occur and kinetic wave equation does not hold. An energy cascade in this case is built at the dynamic time scale (D-cascade) and is computed by the increment chain equation method first introduced in *Kartashova,* EPL ***97*** *(2012), 30004.* We compute for the first time an analytical expression for the energy spectrum of nonlinear gravity-capillary waves as an explicit function depending on the ratio of surface tension to the gravity acceleration. It is shown that its two limits - pure capillary and pure gravity waves on a fluid surface - coincide with the previously obtained results.

We also discuss relations of the model of D-cascade with a few known models used in the theory of nonlinear waves such as Zakharov's equation, resonance of the modes with nonlinear Stokes corrected frequencies and Benjamin-Feir index. These connections are crucial in the understanding and forecasting specifics of the energy transport in a variety of multi-component wave dynamics, from oceanography to optics, from plasma physics to acoustics.

arXiv:1406.3779v1 [physics.flu-dyn] 14 Jun 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

Until recently, the notion of "energy cascade" in a weakly nonlinear wave system was traditionally associated with *kinetic* wave turbulence theory (WTT) where an energy spectrum is a stationary solution of the wave kinetic equation. The wave kinetic equation was first introduced in 1962 by Hasselmann, [1], and its first stationary solution (for capillary waves) was found in 1967 by Zakharov and Filonenko, [2]. Later on, their method of finding stationary solutions was generalized to various weakly nonlinear wave systems with dispersion, [3].

Kinetic equation can be solved numerically for any nontrivial dispersion function ω with given dispersion relation $\omega = \omega(\mathbf{k})$, where $\mathbf{k}$ is wave vector. In the particular case of dispersion function of the form $\omega \sim k^\alpha$, $k = |\mathbf{k}|$, with $\alpha > 1$, kinetic WTT given analytical prediction for the energy spectrum in the form of power law $\sim k^{-\beta}, \beta > 0$, where β is different for wave systems with different dispersion function but does not depend on the excitation parameters.

The prediction hold at the so-called inertial interval where forcing and dissipation are balanced so that within this interval energy is conserving (it is assumed that the pumping and dissipation are spaced far apart in Fourier space). The basic physical mechanism leading to the formation of kinetic energy cascade (K-cascade), is s-wave resonant interactions of linear Fourier modes

$$A(t/\varepsilon^{s-2}) \exp i[kx - \omega t] \tag{1}$$

with slowly changing amplitudes. The s-wave resonances occur independently at different time scales t/ε^{s-2}, $s \geq 3$, and $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ a small parameter; e.g. $\varepsilon \sim 10^{-2}$ for water waves.

Rapid technological progress in the field of measurement methods and measuring techniques allowed the past two decades, systematic study of the spectrum in various fluid systems. The experimental data turned out to be rather contradictory, e.g.: energy spectrum is not formed - rather energy exchange within a small set of Fourier modes; energy spectrum and power law are observed but the exponent differs from the predicted by kinetic WTT; the exponent depends on the parameters of initial excitation; inertial interval does not exist, etc. Without claiming to be exhaustive we give a few references to the most thorough and credible experiments of recent years, [4–8]. A very respectable list of references can be found in a recent review of Newell and Rumpf, [9].

Some of these effects have found their explanation in the frame of the *discrete* WTT, [10, 11]; for instance, the absence of the inertial interval is due to the non-locality of resonant interaction, for some types of dispersion functions. Locality of interaction in kinetic WTT is understood as following: only the interaction of waves with wave lengths of the same order is allowed. However, is is know for more than 20 years [12, 13], that, say, capillary waves with wave lengths of order k and k^3 can interact directly, i.e. build a joint resonance triad; more examples can be found in [14].

The model of the energy spectra formation in the wave systems with weak and moderate nonlinearity allowing to resolve the observed experimental differences over the shape of the energy spectrum with the predictions made for K-cascade was first proposed in 2012 by Kartashova, [15]. In this model the triggering physical mechanism for an energy cascade formation is modulation instability (MI), and corresponding energy cascade is called dynamical cascade (D-cascade); a D-cascade which is a sequence of distinct modes in Fourier space. The use of the specially developed increment chain equation method (ICEM) allows to compute energy spectrum of a D-cascade.

Energy spectrum in the D-model is a solution of so-

*Electronic address: Elena.Tobisch@jku.at

called chain equation. It connects frequencies and amplitudes of two adjacent modes in D-cascade. Energy spectra for capillary and surface water waves (with dispersion functions being $\omega^2 = \sigma k^3$ and $\omega^2 = gk$ consequently) are computed in [15], for different values of a small parameter $\varepsilon \sim 0.1 \div 0.4$ chosen as a ratio of the wave amplitude to the wave length.

In this Letter we give a brief sketch of the ICEM and compute energy spectrum of ensemble of weakly nonlinear gravity-capillary waves with dispersion function $\omega^2 = gk + \sigma k^3$. We demonstrate also the intrinsic mathematical connections between D-model and other models describing nonlinear wave interaction at the same time and space scales: Zakharov's equation, resonances of nonlinear Stokes waves and Benjamin-Feir index.

II. INCREMENT CHAIN EQUATION METHOD (ICME)

The physical mechanism underlying formation of a D-cascade is modulation instability which can be described as the decay of a carrier wave ω_0 into two side-bands ω_1, ω_2:

$$\omega_1 + \omega_2 = 2\omega_0, \quad \vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 = 2\vec{k}_0 + \Theta, \tag{2}$$

$$\omega_1 = \omega_0 + \Delta\omega,\ \omega_2 = \omega_0 - \Delta\omega,\ 0 < \Delta\omega \ll 1. \tag{3}$$

A wave train with initial real amplitude A, wavenumber $k = |\vec{k}|$, and frequency ω is modulationally unstable if

$$0 \le \Delta\omega/Ak\omega \le \sqrt{2}. \tag{4}$$

Eq.(4) describes an instability interval for the wave systems with a small nonlinearity of order of $\varepsilon \sim 0.1$ to 0.2, first obtained in [16]. It is also established for gravity surface waves that the most unstable modes in this interval satisfy the condition

$$\Delta\omega/Ak\omega = 1. \tag{5}$$

The essence of the ICEM is the use of (5) for computing the frequencies of the cascading modes. At the first step of the D-cascade, a carrier mode has frequency ω_0 and the distance to the next cascading mode $(\Delta\omega)_1 = |\omega_0 - \omega_1|$ with frequency ω_0 is chosen in such a way that condition (5) is satisfied, i.e. $|\omega_0 - \omega_1| = A_0 k_0 \omega_0$. At the second step of the D-cascade, a carrier mode has the frequency ω_1, the distance to the next cascading mode $(\Delta\omega)_2 = |\omega_1 - \omega_2|$ is chosen so that $|\omega_1 - \omega_2| = A_1 k_1 \omega_1$, and so on.

In this way, a recursive relation between neighboring cascading modes can easily be obtained:

$$\sqrt{p}_n A_n = A(\omega_n \pm \omega_n A_n k_n) \tag{6}$$

Here notation p_n is chosen for the fraction of energy transported from the cascading mode $A_n = A(\omega_n)$ to the cascading mode $A_{n+1} = A(\omega_{n+1})$, i.e. $A_{n+1} = \sqrt{p_n} A_n$. This fraction p is called cascade intensity, [15].

The Eq.(6) describes two chain equations: one chain equation with "+" for direct D-cascade with $\omega_n < \omega_{n+1}$ and another chain equation for inverse D-cascade with $\omega_n > \omega_{n+1}$.

Speaking generally, the cascade intensity $p_n = p_n(A_0, \omega_0, n)$ might be a function of the excitation parameters A_0, ω_0 and the step n. However, as in a lot of experiments it is established that p_n depends only on the excitation parameters and does not depend on the step n, all the formulae below are given for the case of the constant cascade intensity. Accordingly, notation p is used instead of the notation p_n. This means in particular that $A_{n+1} = \sqrt{p} A_n = p^{n/2} A_0$ and as energy $E_n \sim A_n^2$ it follows $E_n \sim p^n A_0^2$, i.e. energy spectrum of the amplitudes of the D-cascade has exponential form.

Taking Taylor expansion of the RHS of the chain equation and regarding only two first terms of the resulting infinite series, one can derive an ordinary differential equation describing stationary amplitudes of the cascading modes. The consequent steps of the ICEM are given below.

1) Relation between neighboring amplitudes :

$$A_{n+1} = \sqrt{p} A_n \tag{7}$$

2) Condition for maximal increment:

$$\frac{|\omega_{n+1} - \omega_n|}{f(\omega_n A_n k_n)} = 1, \tag{8}$$

where $f(\omega_n A_n k_n)$ is known function of the product $\omega_n A_n k_n$. For instance, $f(\omega_n A_n k_n) = \omega_n A_n k_n$ for gravity surface waves with small parameter of the order of $0.1 \div 0.2$. Examples for bigger nonlinearity and also for other wave types can be found in [17, 18].

3) Chain equations:

$$A_{n+1} \equiv A(\omega_{n+1}) = A(\omega_n \pm f(\omega_n A_n k_n)) = \sqrt{p} A(\omega_n) \tag{9}$$

where "+" should be taken for direct cascade and "-" for inverse cascade.

4) approximate ODE(s) on amplitude A_n:

$$\sqrt{p} A_n \approx A_n \pm A_n^{'} f(\omega_n A_n k_n). \tag{10}$$

5) Discrete energy spectrum: $E_n \sim A_n^2$.

6) Spectral density:

$$S(\omega) = |\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{d\,E_n}{d\,\omega_n}|. \tag{11}$$

In particularly, the formula below gives explicit expression for the computation of the wave amplitudes (for direct cascade) in the case of a small initial nonlinearity $\varepsilon \sim 0.1 \div 0.25$:

$$A(\omega_n) = (\sqrt{p} - 1) \int \frac{d\,\omega_n}{\omega_n \cdot k(\omega_n)}. \tag{12}$$

Remark. It is known that if the autocorrelation function involves temporal measurements at a single point, the power spectrum has the units m^2/Hz. It is easy to check that spectral density $S(\omega)$ has correct units. Indeed, as we compute the amplitudes at single points, amplitudes $A(\omega_n)$ have units m, than their squares $A(\omega_n)^2$ have units m^2 and spectral density $S(\omega)$ has units m^2/Hz.

Let us illustrate the method described above with an example of gravity surface waves, with weak nonlinearity, $\varepsilon \sim 0.1 \div 0.25$ first computed in [15].

Example: Gravity surface waves. In this case $\omega^2 = gk$, and $f(\omega_n A_n k_n) = \omega_n A_n k_n$, [16], which yields

$$|(\Delta\omega)_n|/\omega_n A_n k_n = 1. \ \Rightarrow \quad (13)$$

$$\sqrt{p} - 1 \approx \pm A_n^{'} \omega_n^3 \ \Rightarrow \quad (14)$$

$$A_n^{(Dir)} = g\,\frac{(1-\sqrt{p})}{2}\omega_n^{-2} + C^{(Dir)}, \quad (15)$$

$$A_n^{(Inv)} = -g\,\frac{(1-\sqrt{p})}{2}\omega_n^{-2} + C^{(Inv)}, \quad (16)$$

$$E(\omega_n)^{(Dir)} \sim \Big[g\,\frac{(1-\sqrt{p})}{2}\omega_n^{-2} + C^{(Dir)}\Big]^2, \quad (17)$$

$$E(\omega_n)^{(Inv)} \sim \Big[-g\,\frac{(1-\sqrt{p})}{2}\omega_n^{-2} + C^{(Inv)}\Big]^2, \quad (18)$$

$$\text{with } C^{(Dir)} = A_0 - g\,\frac{(1-\sqrt{p})}{2}\omega_0^{-2}, \quad (19)$$

$$C^{(Inv)} = A_0 + g\,\frac{(1-\sqrt{p})}{2}\omega_0^{-2}. \quad (20)$$

As we assume that p =const, specific choice of the excitation parameters allows us to compute explicitly the cascade intensity p as the function of the excitation parameters A_0, ω_0. Indeed, let us regard for instance the case of direct cascade and the choice of excitation parameter is such that $C^{(Dir)} = 0$. Then

$$A_0 - g\,\frac{(1-\sqrt{p})}{2}\omega_0^{-2} = 0 \ \Rightarrow$$
$$p = [1 - (2A_0\omega_0^2)/g]^2. \quad (21)$$

Accordingly, $E(\omega_n)^{(Dir)} = (A_0\omega_0\omega_n^{-2})^2 \sim \omega_n^{-4}$ and spectral density reads $S(\omega)_{grav} \sim \omega^{-5}$. This corresponds to the celebrated Phillips spectrum, [19], and also to the real oceanic measurements coined in the JONSWAP wave spectrum for wind-generated waves. The JONSWAP spectrum is the standard wave spectrum input used for in practical engineering, e.g. for practical fatigue calculation for off-shore structures,

Similar computation for capillary waves with small nonlinearity yield $E(\omega_n)^{(Dir)} \sim \omega_n^{-4/3}$ and $S(\omega)_{cap} \sim \omega^{-7/3}$.

III. D-SPECTRA OF GRAVITY-CAPILLARY WAVES

A. Computation of the spectrum

In this case computation of $A_n = A(\omega_n)$ is too tedious and is omitted here. Instead, we compute $A_n = A(k_n)$ by changing integration variable in (12); some preliminary computations are necessary:

$$\omega(k) = \sqrt{g\,k + \sigma k^3} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega'_k = \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{g + 3\sigma k^2}{2\sqrt{g\,k + \sigma k^3}} \ \Rightarrow \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{\omega'_k}{\omega(k)\cdot k} = \frac{g + 3\sigma k^2}{(2\sqrt{g\,k + \sigma k^3})(\sqrt{g\,k + \sigma k^3})k} = \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{g + 3\sigma k^2}{2k(g\,k + \sigma k^3)} \ \Rightarrow \quad (25)$$

$$A_{gr-cap}(k) = (\sqrt{p} - 1)\int \frac{\omega'_k\,dk}{\omega(k)k} = \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{(\sqrt{p}-1)}{2}\int \frac{(g + 3\sigma k^2)\,dk}{k(g\,k + \sigma k^3)}. \quad (27)$$

This indefinite integral can be computed explicitly:

$$\int \frac{(g + 3\sigma k^2)\,dk}{k(g\,k + \sigma k^3)} = 2\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{g}}\arctan\big(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{g}}k\big) - k^{-1} + const. \quad (28)$$

which yields (for direct cascade)

$$A_{gr-cap}(k_n) =$$
$$\frac{(1-\sqrt{p})}{2}\big[k_n^{-1} - 2\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{g}}\arctan\big(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{g}}k_n\big)\big]$$
$$-\frac{(1-\sqrt{p})}{2}\big[k_0^{-1} - 2\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{g}}\arctan\big(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{g}}k_0\big)\big] + A_0. \quad (29)$$

Keeping in mind that cascade intensity p is constant it follows that

$$A_{gr-cap}(k_n) \sim \big[k_n^{-1} - 2\sqrt{a}\arctan\big(\sqrt{a}k_n\big)\big], \quad (30)$$

$$E_{gr-cap}(k_n) \sim \big[k_n^{-1} - 2\sqrt{a}\arctan\big(\sqrt{a}k_n\big)\big]^2, \quad (31)$$

$$S(k)_{gr-cap}$$
$$\sim \Big|\left(\frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{2a^2}{1+a^2k^2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{k} - 2a\arctan(a\,k)\right)\Big|, \quad (32)$$

where notation $a = \frac{\sigma}{g}$ is used.

The D-cascade among gravity-capillary water waves with $\varepsilon \sim 0.1$ is formed at the time scale of order of dozen of seconds, [21]; for instance, for a wave with wavelength 10 cm corresponding time scale is 25 seconds, and D-cascade would be easy to observe in a laboratory experiment.

B. Consistency check

Energy spectra for pure gravity and pure capillary waves in [15] have been obtained in the form $A = A(\omega)$.

To check consistency of (30) with the results obtained above for gravity-capillary waves we have to rewrite them in the form $A = A(k)$ as following:

$$A(k) = (\sqrt{p} - 1) \int \frac{\omega'_k dk}{\omega(k) \cdot k}. \tag{33}$$

This yields *for surface gravity waves*

$$A_{grav}(k) = (\sqrt{p} - 1) \int \frac{\omega'_k dk}{\omega(k) \cdot k} =$$
$$(\sqrt{p} - 1) \int \Big(\frac{\sqrt{g}}{2\, k^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}\, k^{1/2} k}\Big) dk =$$
$$\frac{(\sqrt{p} - 1)}{2} \int \frac{dk}{k^2} \;\Rightarrow$$
$$A_{grav}(k) = \frac{(1 - \sqrt{p})}{2}(k^{-1} - k_0^{-1}) + A_0 \tag{34}$$

and *for capillary waves*

$$A_{cap}(k) = (\sqrt{p} - 1) \int \frac{\omega'_k dk}{\omega(k) \cdot k} =$$
$$(\sqrt{p} - 1) \int \Big(\frac{3\sqrt{\sigma}\, k^{1/2}}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma} k^{3/2}\, k}\Big) dk =$$
$$\frac{3(\sqrt{p} - 1)}{2} \int \frac{dk}{k^2} \;\Rightarrow$$
$$A_{cap}(k) = \frac{3(1 - \sqrt{p})}{2}(k^{-1} - k_0^{-1}) + A_0 \tag{35}$$

In order to avoid tedious calculations, let us regard a special choice of excitation parameters such that $A(k) \sim k^{-1}$, then for this case we get

$$E_{grav}(k) \sim k^{-2}, \quad S_{grav}(k) \sim k^{-3} \tag{36}$$
$$E_{cap}(k) \sim k^{-2}, \quad S_{cap}(k) \sim k^{-3} \tag{37}$$

Let us now regard the expression under the integral in (27) for two limiting cases: (a) $\sigma \to 0$, and (b) $g \to 0$. In the first case, the integral (27) transforms into $\int k^{-2} dk$ and consequently

$$A_{gr-cap}(k) = \frac{(1 - \sqrt{p})}{2}\big[k^{-1} - k_0^{-1}\big] + A_0 = A_{grav}(k). \tag{38}$$

In the second case, the integral (27) transforms into $\int 3k^{-2} dk$ and consequently

$$A_{gr-cap}(k) = \frac{3(1 - \sqrt{p})}{2}\big[k^{-1} - k_0^{-1}\big] + A_0 = A_{cap}(k) \tag{39}$$

This means the expression for the energy spectrum of gravity-capillary waves is consistent with previously obtained results for pure gravity and pure capillary waves, i.e. the D-model itself is consistent.

Another important check follows from the standard relation $\int S(\omega) d\,\omega = \int S(k) d\,k$ (in one space dimension). Rewriting it as $S(k) = S(\omega)\frac{d\omega}{dk}$ we can compute $S(k)_{grav}$ and $S(k)_{cap}$:

$$S(k)_{grav} \sim k^{-1/2} k^{-5/2} = k^{-3} \tag{40}$$

and

$$S(k)_{cap} \sim k^{-7/2} k^{1/2} = k^{-3} \tag{41}$$

which is in accordance with formulae (36),(37).

IV. CONNECTION OF D-MODEL WITH OTHER MODELS

On different scales in time and space there are many models describing various phenomena and processes in nonlinear wave interaction. Some of these models have the same time and space scale as D-cascade. In this section we show that direct mathematical relationship between D-model and a few other known models exists.

(I) The computation of the D-cascade spectra demonstrated above and in [22] has been performed in the frame of nonlinear Schrödinger equation or its modifications. As modulation instability exists in other evolutionary dispersive nonlinear partial differential equations, e.g. in generalized versions of Korteweg-de Vries equation [23, 24], Hasegawa-MIma equation [25] and others, the ICEM can be directly applied also for these equations. All the difference between different equation would be "hidden" in the form of the chain equation.

(II) The computation kindly provided to us by Miguel Onorato in the general discussion at the Workshop "Wave Turbulence" (Ecole de Physique, Les Houches, France, 2012) shows connection between D-cascade and Zakharov's equation.

Let us first rewrite (8) as

$$\tilde{\omega}_R = \omega_0 + \omega_0 A_0 k_0, \tag{42}$$
$$\tilde{\omega}_L = \omega_0 - \omega_0 A_0 k_0, \tag{43}$$

and consider a system of 3 discrete waves using the following decomposition:

$$a_k = b_0 \delta_k^0 + b_L \delta_k^L + b_R \delta_k^R \tag{44}$$

Here b_0 is the carrier wave and b_L and b_R are the left and right sidebands, respectively; $L = k_0 + \Delta k$ and $R = k_0 - \Delta k$.

Assuming b_L and b_R small with respect to the b_0 and neglecting nonlinear terms in the sidebands amplitude, after substituting (44) into Zakharov's equation

$$\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial t} + i\omega_1 a_1 = -i \int dk_{2,3,4} T_{1,2,3,4} a_2^* a_3 a_4 \delta_{12}^{34} \tag{45}$$

we get:

$$\frac{db_0}{dt} + i\omega_0 b_0 = -iT_{0,0,0,0}|b_0|^2 b_0$$
$$\frac{db_L}{dt} + i\omega_L b_L = -i2T_{L,0,L,0}|b_0|^2 b_L - iT_{L,R,0,0} b_0^2 b_R^*$$
$$\frac{db_R}{dt} + i\omega_R b_R = -i2T_{R,0,R,0}|b_0|^2 b_R - iT_{R,L,0,0} b_0^2 b_L^* \tag{46}$$

If one is interested only in the interaction of each sideband with the carrier wave, independently from the other, then one can easily find the dispersion relation for b_L and b_R. Solution of the first equation is straightforward:

$$b_0 = \tilde{b}_0 \exp[-i(\omega_0 + T_{0,0,0,0}|\tilde{b}_0|^2)t] \tag{47}$$

so that

$$\tilde{\omega}_0 = \omega_0 + T_{0,0,0,0}|\tilde{b}_0|^2 \tag{48}$$

$T_{0,0,0,0} = k^3$ but one should recall that the Zakharov's equation is written for the wave action variable which is related to the surface elevation η_0 as follows: $\eta_0 = \sqrt{2k_0/\omega_0}b_0$, therefore the dispersion relation is:

$$\tilde{\omega}_0 = \omega_0 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}k_0^2\eta_0^2\right) \tag{49}$$

and, neglecting the interaction between the two sidebands, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{db_L}{dt} + i\omega_L b_L &= -i2T_{L,0,0,L}|b_0|^2 b_L \\ \frac{db_R}{dt} + i\omega_R b_R &= -i2T_{R,0,0,R}|b_0|^2 b_R \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

The nonlinear dispersion relation for the sidebands is:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_L &= \omega_L + 2T_{L,0,0,L}|\tilde{b}_0|^2 \\ \tilde{\omega}_R &= \omega_R + 2T_{R,0,0,R}|\tilde{b}_0|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

The diagonal part of the coupling coefficient has the following form: $T_{1,2,1,2} = k_1 k_2 min(k_1, k_2)$, therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{L,0,0,L} &= k_0 k_L^2 = k_0(k_0 - \Delta k)^2 \\ T_{R,0,0,R} &= k_0^2 k_R = k_0^2(k_0 + \Delta k) \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Therefore we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_L &= \sqrt{(g(k_0 - \Delta k)} + \omega_0(k_0 - \Delta k)^2\eta_0^2 \\ \tilde{\omega}_R &= \sqrt{(g(k_0 + \Delta k)} + \omega_0 k_0(k_0 + \Delta k)\eta_0^2 \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Taylor expanding in Δk and neglecting nonlinear dispersive terms of cubic order, the resonant condition becomes:

$$2\tilde{\omega}_0 - \tilde{\omega}_L - \tilde{\omega}_R = -\eta_0^2 k_0^2 \omega_0 + \frac{\omega_0 \Delta k^2}{4k_0^2} = 0, \tag{54}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ \frac{\Delta k}{k_0} = 2k_0\eta_0 = 2\varepsilon, \tag{55}$$

where ε is the steepness of the carrier wave. As $2\Delta k/k_0 = \Delta\omega/\omega_0$, (55) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} = \varepsilon. \tag{56}$$

Eq.(56) coincides with (5) and therefore corresponds to the maximum of instability in the Benjamin-Feir instability curve.

Thus we have shown that each step of the D-cascade, (9), describes an exact 4-wave resonance in the Zakharov equation among the modes with nonlinear Stokes corrected frequencies.

(III) The D-cascade as a whole can be regarded as a resonance cluster formed by a few connected exact resonances of nonlinear Stokes modes. This means that in order to deduce the chain equation we do not need the modulation instability; in fact, the MI is just a suitable mathematical language for describing an energy cascade in the focusing evolutionary NPDEs. The general mathematical object, describing energy cascades both for focusing and non-focusing NPDEs, is a resonance cluster formed by a few connected exact resonances of nonlinear Stokes modes.

Objects of this type are studied by the homotopy analysis method (HAM), [26]. Recent application of the HAM allowed to describe steady-state resonance of multiple wave interactions in deep water, [27]; numerical simulation with Zakharov's equations demonstrate qualitative agreement with the results obtained by the homotopy analysis method.

In contrast to perturbation methods usually applied for studying nonlinear problems, this method does not introduce a small parameter and works in realistic physical set-ups.

(IV) Freak or rogue waves is a quite popular subject in the last few decades when different models for describing their appearance have been suggested. Three main types of models are used for describing rogue waves formation: linear (spatial focusing or focusing due to dispersion), weakly nonlinear (focusing due to modulation instability) and essentially nonlinear wave interaction, [28]. In the real numerical models for weather and ocean wave field prediction, the so-called Benjamin-Feir index (BFI) is successfully used for characterizing the probability of the freak wave appearance.

The BFI is defined as the ratio of the wave steepness $k_0 A$ to the spectrum width $\Delta\omega/\omega_0$ and the probability of high waves occurrence is non-zero if $BFI = 1$ or bigger, i.e. beginning with

$$BFI = \frac{k_0 A}{\Delta\omega/\omega_0} = 1. \tag{57}$$

However, quite recently it was shown that freak waves can also occur in the systems where the MI is absent and BFI=0, [29].

This apparent contradiction is easy to explain if we note that (57) is equivalent to the condition for the maximal increment (8) and consequently to the chain equation (9) which in turn can be described without making use of modulation instability, as it was demonstrated in (III).

V. DISCUSSION

In this Letter we have demonstrated how to apply increment chain equation method for computing energy spectra of ensemble of weakly nonlinear gravity-capillary waves with dispersion function $\omega^2 = gk + \sigma k^3$ and small parameter $\varepsilon \sim 0.1 \div 0.25$. The energy spectrum is computed analytically as a function of g/σ, see (32); D-spectra in the two limiting cases - pure gravity case, $\omega^2 = g\,k$, and pure capillary case, $\omega^2 = g\,k^3$, coincide with the known results first presented in [15].

The D-cascade among gravity-capillary water waves is formed at the time scale of order of dozen of seconds and can easily be observed in a laboratory experiment. Various characteristic of a D-cascade in this case - its direction, possible scenarios of cascade termination, etc. - can be studied analytically, similar to the case of pure gravity waves presented in [22]. This is the work in progress.

We have also demonstrated that D-cascade, though being a novel model, is directly connected with other important topics widely studied in fluid mechanics, e.g. resonance clustering of the modes with nonlinear Stokes corrected frequencies or criterion for the freak wave appearance. This makes it possible to transfer ideas, concepts and approaches from one scientific area to another and to study them in a new setting. The understanding of the connections between different models are crucial in the understanding and forecasting specifics of the energy transport in a variety of multi-component nonlinear wave systems appearing everywhere from oceanography to optics, from plasma physics to acoustics.

Acknowledgements. The author is very much obliged to W.E. Farrell, Walter Munk and Miguel Onorato for useful comments and suggestions. This research has been supported by the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF) under project P22943.

[1] K. Hasselmann. *Fluid Mech.*, **12** (1962), 481.
[2] V. E. Zakharov, and N. N. Filonenko. *Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys.* **4** (1967), 500.
[3] V. E. Zakharov, V. S. L'vov and G. Falkovich. *Kolmogorov Spectra of Turbulence* (Series in Nonlinear Dynamics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992).
[4] D. Snouck, M.-T. Westra and W. van de Water. *Physics of Fluids* **21** (2009), 025102.
[5] L. Deike, M. Berhanu, E. Falcon. *Phys. Rev. E.* **85** (2012), 066311.
[6] L. V. Abdurakhimov, Y. M. Brazhnikov, G. V. Kolmakov and A. A. Levchenko. Study of high-frequency edge of turbulent cascade on the surface of He-II. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **150** (2009): 032001.
[7] P. Cobelli, A. Przadka, P. Petitjeans, G. Lagubeau, V. Pagneux and A. Maurel. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011): 214503.
[8] M. Shats, H. Xia and H. Punzmann. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012): 034502.
[9] A. C. Newell, and B. Rumpf. *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **43** (2011), 59.
[10] E. Kartashova. *JETP Lett.*, **83** (2006), 341.
[11] E. Kartashova. *EPL* **87** (2009), 44001.
[12] E. Kartashova. *Physica D*, **46** (1990), 43.
[13] E. Kartashova. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **72** (1994), 2013.
[14] E. Kartashova. *Nonlinear Resonance Analysis* (Cambridge University Press, 2010).
[15] E. Kartashova. *EPL* **97** (2012), 30004.
[16] T. B. Benjamin, and J. E. Feir. *Fluid Mech.* **27** (1967), 417.
[17] K. B. Dysthe. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **369** (1979): 105.
[18] S. J. Hogan. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **402** (1985), 359.
[19] O. M. Phillips. *Fluid Mech.* **4** (1958), 426.
[20] J.J.R. Ellefsen, and T. Holmas. *Proc. 8th Int. Offshore and Polar Eng. Conf.* (2008), 310; ISBN 978-1-880653-70-8, 1-880653-68-0.
[21] E. Kartashova. *EPL* **102** (2013), 44005.
[22] E. Kartashova. *PRE* **86** (2012), 041130.
[23] J. C. Bronski, M. A. Johnson, and T. Kapitula. Proc. Royal Soc. Edin.: Sec. A, **141** (6) (2011): 1141.
[24] M. A. Johnson. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **45** (5) (2013): 2597.
[25] M. A. Malkov, P. H. Diamond, and A. Smolyakov. *Phys. Plasma* **8** (5) (2001): 1553.
[26] S. J. Liao. Homotopy Analysis Method in Nonlinear Differential Equations. (Springer & Higher Education Press, 2012)
[27] Z. Liu, and Liao, S.-J. Steady-state resonance of multiple wave interactions in deep water *Fluid Mech.* **742** (2014), 664.
[28] A. Slunyaev, I. Didenkulova, and E. Pelinovsky emph-Contemp. Phys. **52** (6) (2011): 571.
[29] F. Baronio, Conforti, M., Degasperis, A., and Lombardo, S. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (11) (2013): 114101.